

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOX:KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,317	08/29/2001	Thuan Pham	8016-548	7738	
. 75	7590 03/29/2005			EXAMINER	
Brad A. Schepers, Esq.			EL ARINI	EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
Woodard, Emb	ardt, Naughton, Moria	rty and McNett			
Bank One Center/Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700			1746		
Indianapolis, I	N 46204-5137		DATE MAILED: 03/29/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	9/	<del></del>	N				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055-14 (1 0	09/942,317	PHAM, THUAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	anuary 2005						
	s action is non-final.		•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the merits	s is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>	· ·		0				
Disposition of Claims							
<u> </u>	ading in the application						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-8,10-17,19,20 and 22-30 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8,10-17,19-20,22-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar .						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dijected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nniority under 25 LLS C	\$ 110(a) (d) as (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i phonty under 35 0.5.C.	3 119(a)-(d) or (i).	•				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea		·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	-						
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment and remarks filed January 10, 2005 have been acknowledged and entered.

Claims 2-8, 10-17, 19-20, and 22-30 are pending.

The rejections stated in paper No. 092904 have been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment and remarks.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for "industrial grade isopropyl alcohol" as is now claimed in claims 20 and 25.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,317 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 19, 2-8, 11-17, 20 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biancalana et al. (4,552,163).

Biancalana et al. disclose a device for cleaning, disinfecting and drying instruments. The device comprises a housing, at least one nozzle, a sensor adapted to detect a presence of the object to be cleaned, and a valve as claimed. The reference also discloses that when the instrument is introduced into the chamber under the control of the photoelectric cells, the operative cycle starts and the valves 33, 34, open, thus providing pressurized air and water to the nozzles 10, so that pressurized air reaches said nozzles. At the same time valve 35 opens, therefore the atomizer 12 starts to work and a flow of air containing disinfectant reaches the nozzles 11. After the predetermined washing time, the electro-valves 33 and 34 close. The reference also discloses water-air mixing unit. See col. 4, lines 54-64, col. 3, lines 51-56, and claims 1 and 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,317

Art Unit: 1746

Biancalana et al. as discussed supra disclose all limitation with the exception of the alcohols as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the alcohol as disinfectant agent which is inherently cleaning agent in the Biancalana et al. to obtain the claimed apparatus, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.,

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the alcohols as disinfectant agent in the Biancalana et al. cleaning apparatus to enhance the cleaning and to obtain the claimed apparatus. This is because it is well known in the art that the alcohols can be used as cleaning and disinfecting solution. Therefore one skilled in the art would use the alcohols instead of the disinfectant taught by Biancalana et al. to obtain the claimed apparatus. The disinfectant solution is inherently used as cleaning solution.

Re claim 17, the limitation of claim 17, is for intended use limitation.

Art Unit: 1746

2. Claims 10 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biancalana et al. as applied to claims 19, 2-8, 11-17, 20, and 22-29 above, and further in view of Gaydoul et al. (6,029,681).

Biancalana et al. as discussed supra do not teach means for adjusting a distance as claimed.

Gaydoul et al. disclose the means for adjusting the distance as claimed. See the abstract.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the distance adjusting means taught by Gaydoul et al. in the Biancalana et al. apparatus to improve the efficiency of the cleaning apparatus.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's argument with respect to Benedict et al. is persuasive, therefore Benedict et al. reference has been withdrawn. With respect to applicant's argument that Biancalana reference is not concerned with reduction of fluidic waste, this argument is unpersuasive, because the claims as recited do not include this limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,317

Art Unit: 1746

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-8, 10-17, 19, 20, and 22-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,317

Art Unit: 1746

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

ZEE 03/24/05